

ROBERT GRASSO,
Plaintiff,
v.
RONALD ROMNEY, et al.,
Defendants.

Even liberally construed, the Complaint does not contain allegations suggesting Plaintiff might have a valid claim, *see, Lillard v. Shelby County Bd. of Educ.*, 76 F.3d 716 (6th Cir. 1996)(court not required to accept summary allegations or unwarranted legal conclusions in determining whether complaint states a claim for relief), nor does it set forth a claim over which

this Court might arguably have subject matter jurisdiction. The Court finds this case is therefore appropriately subject to summary dismissal. *See, Apple v. Glenn*, 183 F.3d 477, 479 (6th Cir. 1999).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed. The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Dan A. Polster Dec. 28, 2017

**DAN AARON POLSTER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**